ISSN: 2840 - 2917
Volume 12, Number 2, 2026
International Journal of Management & Social Science Research

PROJECT CHARTER AS A GOVERNANCE INSTRUMENT: IMPLICATIONS FOR PROJECT ACCOUNTABILITY AND PERFORMANCE IN THE NIGERIAN PUBLIC SECTOR

Ujande, Elisha Yusuf Ph.D.
College of Leadership and Management Science,
Erudite Open University, Jos, Plateau State, Nigeria.
+2348036015868 Email. elishaujande@gmail.com

and

Ginikachukwu Jessica Irechukwu
College of Leadership and Management Science,
Erudite Open University, Jos, Plateau State, Nigeria.
+2348033664976, Email: giniks4life@gmail.com

Abstract
The project charter serves as a foundational governance instrument that formalizes the authorization, scope, and objectives of developmental initiatives within public sector organizations. In the Nigerian public sector context, where governance deficiencies have historically undermined institutional performance and accountability, the project charter functions as a critical mechanism for establishing clear lines of responsibility, defining stakeholder roles, and institutionalizing accountability frameworks. The concept of the project charter extends beyond a purely administrative document; it represents a governance architecture through which authority is delegated, performance expectations are established, and institutional accountability is operationalized. Recent scholarship emphasizes that effective project governance, when anchored in comprehensive and clearly articulated project charters, directly enhances public sector performance outcomes. Within the Nigerian context, where public institutions continue to grapple with weak accountability structures, corruption, and inefficient resource allocation, the project charter becomes instrumental in translating governance principles into actionable operational frameworks. The charter's role transcends traditional project management functions; it establishes the institutional legitimacy of projects, clarifies governance relationships, and creates structured pathways for stakeholder engagement and performance accountability. The framework identifies functional arrangements through which governance formation stabilizes into sustained institutional capacity, reorienting institutional strategy away from governance specification and toward the cultivation of implementation conditions capable of generating governance over time. This research demonstrates that institutions recognizing governance as a product of implementation rather than its prerequisite are better positioned to support the iterative process through which projects transition from conceptualization to sustainable institutional integration.

***Key words**: Project Charter, Governance, Accountability, Public Sector, Stakeholder Engagement, Project Performance.*

INTRODUCTION

The Nigerian public sector stands at a critical juncture where the persistent challenges of project delivery, governance failures, and accountability deficits continue to undermine national development aspirations. Picture the scenario: across Nigeria's thirty-six states and the Federal Capital Territory, billions of naira are invested in infrastructure projects, health facilities, educational institutions, and social welfare programs. Yet, according to multiple empirical studies, a significant proportion of these projects experience delays, cost overruns, quality compromises, and abandonment. The human cost is profound communities waiting for roads that never materialize, hospitals constructed without equipment, and schools standing empty. Behind these visible failures lies a fundamental governance problem: the absence of clear, documented, and mutually understood frameworks that define what projects are supposed to achieve, who is accountable for what, and how progress will be measured and reported.

This is where the project charter becomes indispensable. The project charter a formal, authoritative document that initiates a project, defines its objectives, scope, stakeholders, constraints, and governance arrangements serves as far more than a bureaucratic formality. When effectively designed and operationalized, it functions as a foundational governance instrument capable of transforming how projects are conceived, executed, and evaluated in the Nigerian public sector. The charter establishes the terms of accountability, clarifies roles and responsibilities, communicates expectations to all stakeholders, and creates a baseline against which performance is objectively measured. Yet, despite its strategic importance, the project charter remains underutilized, poorly understood, or treated as a compliance checkbox rather than a living governance mechanism in many Nigerian public institutions.

This literature review synthesizes evidence from forty to fifty peer-reviewed sources spanning project management, governance, accountability, public sector performance, and Nigerian-specific context studies. The analysis reveals that while general project management literature extensively documents best practices in project initiation and charter development, empirical research specifically examining how charters function as governance instruments in the Nigerian public sector remains limited. By drawing together this dispersed evidence, we construct a comprehensive understanding of how project charters can be systematically leveraged to enhance accountability, strengthen performance outcomes, and support Nigeria's broader public sector reform agenda.

2. CONCEPTUAL FOUNDATIONS: PROJECT CHARTER, GOVERNANCE, AND ACCOUNTABILITY

2.1 Defining the Project Charter and Its Governance Dimensions

Beyond simple authorization, the project charter acts as a "relational contract" that formalizes institutional bonds between stakeholders. It establishes the shared definitions of success and responsibility essential for robust governance. Functionally, the charter serves as a multifaceted instrument: it empowers teams (authorization), aligns projects with strategy (specification), links resources to outcomes (accountability), and sets a baseline for progress (monitoring). By embedding these functions, the charter translates abstract governance principles transparency, responsiveness, and participation into actionable operational realities.

2.2 Accountability in Public Sector Project Contexts

Public accountability is uniquely multidirectional, flowing upward to regulators, downward to citizens, and outward to donors. This creates a complex environment where fiscal rigor must coexist with community impact. The project charter serves as the formal architecture for this landscape, using measurable targets to satisfy diverse oversight needs. Evidence from across Africa suggests that projects utilizing these explicit governance frameworks consistently outperform those that rely on informal arrangements, as they provide the transparency necessary to build public and donor trust.

2.3 Performance as a Multidimensional Construct

In the public sector, performance transcends simple metrics like budget or schedule. It is a multidimensional construct encompassing quality, safety, sustainability, and stakeholder satisfaction. Because different actors prioritize different outcomes, the charter provides a unified language of success through SMART objectives. By aligning resources with these shared goals, the charter addresses the "critical success factors" identified in global research, ensuring that project outcomes reflect the needs of both institutional managers and the communities they serve.

3. PROJECT GOVERNANCE FRAMEWORKS AND PUBLIC SECTOR DYNAMICS

3.1 Project Governance as Distinct from Corporate Governance

Project governance defined as the systems and processes through which projects are authorized, directed, monitored, and controlled represents a specialized subset of broader organizational governance. While corporate governance concerns the oversight of ongoing organizational operations and the relationship between management and ownership, project governance addresses the unique challenges of temporary, complex, resource-intensive undertakings oriented toward specific outputs within defined timeframes. Project governance frameworks establish decision-making hierarchies, define roles and responsibilities across multiple stakeholder groups, specify information flows and reporting relationships, and establish mechanisms for risk management and adaptive control.

In the Nigerian public sector context, project governance faces distinctive challenges. Political cycles create misalignment between project timeframes and electoral cycles, sometimes leading to project abandonment when administrations change. Bureaucratic structures designed for ongoing service delivery often struggles to accommodate the cross-functional, temporary structures required for project management. Limited financial resources create pressure to cut corners on governance investments such as baseline studies, stakeholder consultations, or monitoring systems. Weak institutional capacity for financial management and procurement increases corruption risks. Within this challenging environment, the project charter functions as an anchor for governance, establishing a reference point that transcends individual personalities, political transitions, and organizational restructuring.

3.2 Stakeholder Engagement as Governance Mechanism

Stakeholder engagement defined as the systematic involvement of parties whose interests are affected by a project in the processes of project design, implementation, monitoring, and evaluation has emerged as both a governance imperative and a performance driver. Research across multiple sectors and geographies shows consistent positive associations between stakeholder engagement and project success.

The project charter operationalizes stakeholder engagement by identifying who the stakeholders are, what their respective interests and concerns might be, and how they will be engaged throughout the project lifecycle. This is not merely a consultation exercise where communities are informed of predetermined decisions. Rather, effective stakeholder engagement, as operationalized through charter processes, involves meaningful participation in defining project objectives, identifying constraints and opportunities, articulating success criteria, and establishing monitoring and evaluation mechanisms. Such engagement strengthens accountability by creating multiple vantage points from which project progress can be observed and reported. It reduces risks by surfacing local knowledge, contextual constraints, and potential implementation challenges early in project design. It builds legitimacy and public trust by demonstrating that government institutions genuinely value community input.

4. EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE FROM AFRICAN AND NIGERIAN CONTEXTS

4.1 Public Sector Performance Challenges in Nigeria

Nigeria's public sector has been characterized by persistent challenges in project delivery, service quality, and accountability. A comprehensive review of governance and accountability in Nigeria's public sector found that despite multiple reform initiatives including the adoption of Treasury Single Account (TSA), Integrated Personnel and Payroll Information System (IPPIS), and performance-based budgeting persistent inefficiencies remain . Political interference, weak institutional capacity, limited financial discipline, and insufficient stakeholder engagement emerge across studies as recurring barriers to effective project implementation.

In construction projects specifically, research conducted in Nigeria identified that the primary determinants of poor project planning and execution include inadequate personnel qualifications, insufficient experience, poor communication among project teams, and lack of systematic planning methodologies. Public financial management reforms have shown mixed outcomes while data indicates Treasury Single Account improvements in financial tracking, other studies identify negative spillovers such as reduced capital investment and increased administrative costs . These findings suggest that governance reforms cannot succeed through financial management systems alone; they require comprehensive changes in how projects are initiated, authorized, managed, and evaluated—precisely the domain where project charters operate.

4.2 Critical Success Factors in Construction and Infrastructure Projects

Extensive research on critical success factors (CSFs) variables empirically associated with project success provides evidence that systematic planning, clear objective definition, and explicit stakeholder engagement represent among the most influential predictors of performance. In construction projects specifically, studies across multiple countries identify remarkably consistent sets of CSFs. These include competence of project participants, adequate experience, availability of competent staff, positive personal relationships, effective planning and control, collaboration, and professionalism. In knowledge management within construction organizations, the CSFs most strongly associated with performance include transformational leadership, teamwork, benchmarking, and targeted skills development.

For sustainable construction projects, CSFs span internal dimensions (company-related, project management-related, resource/procurement-related), external dimensions (stakeholder-related, country-related, industry-related), and cross-cutting dimensions (innovation, learning, support systems). Notably, across diverse construction contexts in Kenya, Ghana, Jordan, Malaysia, Sri Lanka, Indonesia research consistently identifies that projects with explicit governance structures, clear success criteria, and systematic stakeholder engagement achieve superior outcomes.

4.3 Monitoring, Evaluation, and Accountability Systems

The effectiveness of monitoring and evaluation (M&E) systems in enhancing project performance has been extensively documented. In a study of street lighting projects in Kenya, capacity in monitoring and evaluation showed a significant positive relationship with project implementation success, with the interaction of M&E capacity and stakeholder engagement explaining meaningful additional variance in outcomes. In construction projects in Tanzania, stakeholder involvement, data collection and reporting, and feedback utilization all showed statistically significant positive effects on project performance.

Performance audit planning practices systematic approaches to evaluating project economy, efficiency, and effectiveness represent another dimension of governance that impacts accountability and performance. In Nigeria specifically, research on performance audit practices reveals that strategic risk assessment and annual audit selection processes significantly predict service delivery quality. Effective audit planning improves transparency, accountability, and organizational performance by directing resources toward high-risk and high-impact areas.

The project charter functions as the foundation upon which M&E and audit systems are constructed. By specifying measurable objectives, deliverables, milestones, and success criteria, the charter creates the baseline against which monitoring is conducted and performance is evaluated. Without such specification, M&E systems lack clear reference points and can devolve into exercises in data collection divorced from meaningful performance assessment.

5. MECHANISMS THROUGH WHICH PROJECT CHARTERS ENHANCE ACCOUNTABILITY AND PERFORMANCE

5.1 Charter as Authorization and Legitimacy Instrument

When a project charter is formally approved by appropriate authority whether at ministerial, departmental, or institutional level it creates organizational legitimacy for the project. This authorization serves multiple functions. First, it allocates formal authority to the project manager to direct project activities and allocate resources. Second, it signals organizational commitment to the project, increasing the likelihood that other organizational units will cooperate with project activities. Third, it establishes the project within institutional memory and formal records, creating a reference point that endures beyond individual personalities or staff changes. Fourth, it creates legal and financial accountability the document can be referenced when disputes arise or when explaining resource expenditure.

In the Nigerian context, where political transitions frequently occur and institutional memory is often weak, the formal authorization embodied in a charter is particularly valuable. Project charters can remain stable reference points across administrations and personnel changes, maintaining institutional continuity. Evidence from public sector reform initiatives in Nigeria indicates that when projects lack clear formal authorization documents, they become vulnerable to discontinuation when political leadership changes or to misappropriation of resources when accountability lines become ambiguous.

5.2 Charter as Specification and Clarity Device

One of the charter's most straightforward but powerful functions is bringing clarity to project objectives, scope, constraints, and success criteria. Research on project failures across sectors reveals that lack of clear definition is among the most frequently cited root causes. When communities do not understand what a project aims to achieve, when project teams operate under differing assumptions about project scope, when success criteria remain implicit rather than explicit, and performance suffers. Misaligned expectations lead to disputes, requests for scope changes that consume resources and beneficiary dissatisfaction when completed projects do not meet unarticulated expectations.

By requiring explicit documentation of project objectives, deliverables, timelines, budget, stakeholders, constraints, assumptions, risks, and success criteria, the charter forces clarity. This specification serves multiple stakeholders: project managers can reference charter documents when managing scope and defending against scope creep; communities can understand what benefits the project will deliver and by when; oversight bodies can evaluate whether actual outcomes align with documented objectives; auditors can assess financial and resource management against approved project parameters.

5.3 Charter as Stakeholder Engagement and Communication Framework

Effective projects typically involve dozens or even hundreds of stakeholder's government officials, technical staff, contractors, beneficiary communities, civil society organizations, donors, and others. Each group has distinct interests, perspectives, and concerns. Without systematic engagement mechanisms, stakeholder interests remain unvoiced, conflicts emerge late in the project cycle, and implementation faces unanticipated opposition.

The project charter, when developed through participatory processes, creates space for stakeholder engagement from project inception. A charter developed through stakeholder consultation processes where community representatives, civil society, government officials, and technical experts contribute to defining project objectives and success criteria embodies stakeholder perspectives in the foundational project document. Such participatory charter development demonstrates correlations with improved stakeholder satisfaction and performance outcomes. The charter then serves as ongoing communication mechanism, providing all stakeholders with reference document that articulates shared understanding of project purpose and approach.

5.4 Charter as Baseline for Monitoring, Evaluation, and Accountability Reporting

A fundamental challenge in public sector accountability is establishing objective baselines against which to measure progress and performance. Without clearly specified objectives and success criteria documented at project inception, evaluators face the methodologically difficult task of inferring what project was supposed to achieve by examining what it accomplished. This invites motivated reasoning if performance appears poor, one can argue that initial expectations were unrealistic; if performance appears good, one can claim success against standards that were actually quite modest. The project charter, by documenting objectives and success criteria before project implementation begins, creates an objective baseline. When monitoring systems compare actual progress against charter-specified objectives, they are conducting measurement against predetermined standards rather than invented post-hoc ones. This specification enables accountability: if objectives are not met, accountability mechanisms can identify whether the problem lies in inadequate resources, ineffective management, external constraints, or unrealistic initial planning. Such differentiated accountability is far more constructive than binary judgments of success or failure.

6. IMPLEMENTATION CHALLENGES AND ENABLERS IN THE NIGERIAN CONTEXT

6.1 Barriers to Effective Charter Development and Use

Despite the evident benefits of well-developed project charters, multiple barriers limit their effective implementation in Nigerian public sector contexts. First, limited institutional capacity for project management means that many public sector organizations lack personnel trained in charter development and governance processes. Second, time pressures political cycles, donor funding timelines, and pressure to show quick results create incentives to minimize upfront planning investments. Third, inadequate stakeholder consultation traditions in some sectors mean that participatory charter development processes face cultural resistance. Fourth, weak accountability mechanisms mean that even when charters are developed, non-compliance with charter specifications often occurs without consequences.

Additionally, weak financial management systems, insufficient audit capacity, and limited use of evidence-based decision-making represent systemic barriers that affect not only charter development but broader governance effectiveness. When financial controls are weak, for example, project expenditure may not align with charter specifications, creating accountability gaps between planned and actual resource use. When audit capacity is limited, charter deviations may not be identified or remedied. When evidence-based decision-making is not prioritized, projects may be selected based on political rather than merit-based criteria, creating misalignment between project portfolio and national development strategy.

6.2 Institutional and Systemic Enablers

Conversely, empirical evidence identifies several institutional and systemic factors that enhance the effectiveness of governance mechanisms including project charters. Strong institutional commitment to planning and management discipline what some researchers term "performance-oriented culture "creates organizational environments where governance documents are taken seriously and compliance is expected . Digital systems for project information management and stakeholder communication enhance charter visibility and create accountability by making project documents and progress reports readily accessible to multiple stakeholders.

Effective project governance requires a shift from isolated administration to integrated institutional capacity. By pairing specialized training for officials with robust multi-stakeholder coordination and organizational alignment, institutions transform project charters from static documents into active governance tools. Evidence from across Africa and Asia confirms that these enabling factors commitment, capacity, and structural synergy are essential for translating charter principles into measurable improvements in public sector accountability and performance.

7. PROJECT CHARTER IN NIGERIAN PUBLIC SECTOR REFORM AGENDA

7.1 Alignment with Broader Governance Reform Initiatives

Nigeria's public sector has undertaken multiple governance reform initiatives over the past two decades, including adoption of International Public Sector Accounting Standards (IPSAS), implementation of Treasury Single Account, introduction of performance-based budgeting, and strengthening of internal audit functions. These reforms, though individually addressing specific aspects of financial and administrative governance, remain incomplete without effective project governance mechanisms.

The project charter represents the missing link that connects strategic planning and resource allocation to project-level execution and accountability. While IPSAS strengthens financial accounting, and performance-based budgeting links resources to results, project charters specify what results a particular project aims to achieve, how progress will be measured, and who bears accountability. When integrated with these complementary reforms, project governance mechanisms create comprehensive accountability architecture spanning strategic planning, resource allocation, project execution, and performance evaluation.

7.2 Context-Specific Adaptations for Nigerian Conditions

Generic project management standards such as PMBOK (Project Management Body of Knowledge) or ISO standards, while valuable, require contextual adaptation to be effective in Nigerian institutional environments. Research on governance reforms in developing countries emphasizes the importance of context-sensitive approaches that account for institutional capacity, political dynamics, and stakeholder realities. For project charters specifically, context-sensitive adaptations might include: simplified charter templates appropriate for smaller projects while maintaining core governance functions; integration of charter processes with existing committee structures and decision-making forums to minimize administrative burden; explicit linkages between project charters and government budget processes; incorporation of community engagement mechanisms that reflect local communication patterns and trust relationships; and capacity-building approaches that recognize the heterogeneous professional backgrounds of public sector personnel.

Moreover, Nigerian project charters should explicitly address corruption risks by requiring competitive procurement processes, establishing segregation of duties, creating audit trails, and involving anti-corruption agencies in oversight. They should incorporate environmental and social safeguards given increasing concerns about project impacts. And they should establish mechanisms for learning and adaptation given the uncertain and complex environments in which many public projects operate.

8. MECHANISMS FOR STRENGTHENING PROJECT CHARTER FUNCTIONALITY

8.1 Institutional Architecture and Organizational Positioning

For project charters to function effectively as governance instruments, they require appropriate institutional positioning within organizational structures. Research on organizational performance measurement systems reveals that when performance systems are championed by senior leadership, integrated into organizational decision-making processes, and supported by appropriate resources, they demonstrate greater impact. Similarly, for project charters to move beyond ceremonial significance to substantive governance effect, they require senior leadership commitment and integration within formal organizational decision-making.

Institutional arrangements might include: establishment of project governance committees that formally review and approve project charters; positioning of project governance functions within organizational structures with direct access to senior leadership; integration of charter compliance and performance monitoring into organizational performance evaluation systems; and linkage of project success (evaluated against charter specifications) to organizational budget allocations and personnel performance evaluations.

8.2 Technology-Enabled Governance

Digital platforms and data analytics create opportunities to strengthen project governance and accountability. Cloud-based project management systems can centralize project documentation including charters, making them accessible to all stakeholders; track progress against charter-specified milestones; generate automated alerts when projects deviate from specified parameters; and create comprehensive audit trails . Data analytics applied to project portfolio data can identify patterns which types of projects succeed or fail, which institutional factors enable performance, which management practices correlate with success that inform continuous improvement in project governance practices. However, technology adoption faces barriers in many Nigerian public sector settings limited IT infrastructure in some locations, concerns about data security and privacy, and insufficient user training. Effective technology-enabled governance therefore requires careful change management, user training, and phased implementation.

8.3 Capacity Building and Institutional Learning

The effectiveness of project charters ultimately depends on the capabilities of personnel who develop and operationalize them. Capacity building in project management, governance principles, stakeholder engagement, and risk management should be prioritized. This capacity building should reach multiple levels: senior officials who make project selection and authorization decisions; project managers responsible for charter development and implementation; monitoring and evaluation staff who assess progress; and community leaders and civil society representatives who participate in project governance. Beyond initial training, institutional learning mechanisms should be established to capture lessons from projects and improve governance practices over time. Post-project reviews that systematically analyze what factors enabled or hindered project success, what charter elements proved most valuable, and what revisions to charter approaches might improve future projects, create feedback loops that support continuous improvement.

9. STRATEGIC RECOMMENDATIONS FOR NIGERIAN PUBLIC SECTOR

9.1 Policy-Level Recommendations

At the policy level, Nigerian government should:

Establish mandatory project charter requirements for all publicly-funded projects exceeding defined thresholds of cost, complexity, or stakeholder impact. This requirement should be embedded in budget directives, public financial management regulations, and organizational management guidelines. Develop context-appropriate project charter standards and guidelines that specify minimum contents while allowing flexibility for different project types and scales. These standards should explicitly address corruption prevention, environmental and social safeguards, stakeholder engagement, and accountability mechanisms. Integrate project governance into public financial management reform initiatives such as those involving Treasury Single Account and performance-based budgeting; creating coherent governance architecture that connects resource allocation to project execution to performance evaluation. Establish project governance capability-building programs embedded within civil service training institutions, targeting project managers, oversight officials, and community engagement specialists. Create oversight structures at ministerial and agency levels with clear responsibility for project governance quality and charter compliance, potentially through project governance committees or dedicated units.

9.2 Institutional-Level Recommendations

At organizational levels, Nigerian public sector institutions should:

1. Adopt project charter processes as standard organizational practice, institutionalizing them through policy, procedures, and resource allocation.
2. Position project governance functions strategically with senior leadership engagement, ensuring that project success (evaluated against charter specifications) influences organizational performance evaluation and resource allocation.
3. Integrate charter development with participatory stakeholder consultation processes, ensuring that project charters reflect community input and support downward accountability.
4. Establish monitoring systems that systematically track project progress against charter-specified objectives, with clear protocols for identifying deviations, investigating root causes, and implementing corrective action.
5. Implement post-project review processes that extract lessons from completed projects and feed these lessons into improved governance practices for subsequent projects

10. CONCLUSION AND SYNTHESIS

This literature review has examined the project charter as a governance instrument capable of enhancing accountability and performance in Nigeria's public sector. The analysis synthesizes evidence from project management research, governance studies, Nigerian-specific public sector research, and empirical studies from comparable African and Asian contexts.

The evidence demonstrates that well-developed project charters, when integrated within comprehensive governance frameworks and operationalized through adequate institutional mechanisms, contribute meaningfully to public sector project success. Charters enhance accountability by clarifying roles and responsibilities, creating authorization baselines against which performance is measured, and enabling multidirectional accountability to oversight bodies, beneficiaries, and development partners. They improve performance by bringing clarity to objectives, engaging stakeholders in defining success criteria, establishing monitoring baselines, and creating frameworks for adaptive management and learning.

Yet realizing these benefits requires more than simply adopting charter templates. It requires institutional commitment from senior leadership, adequate investment in capacity building, integration within broader governance structures, and responsiveness to Nigerian institutional realities and constraints. The evidence suggests that in institutional environments where these supporting factors are present strong governance commitment, adequate resources, multi-stakeholder coordination, and learning orientation project governance mechanisms including charters demonstrate measurable impacts on outcomes.

For Nigeria specifically, the strategic imperative is clear: as government pursues public sector reforms aimed at improving service delivery, enhancing accountability, and restoring public trust, project governance mechanisms including project charters represent high-leverage interventions. By establishing clear specification of project objectives, creating transparent governance frameworks, engaging stakeholders systematically, and establishing accountability mechanisms, project charters can address fundamental governance failures that have long characterized Nigerian public sector project delivery.

The path forward requires integrated action across policy, institutional, and operational levels: policies that mandate charter requirements and establish governance standards; institutional commitment to positioning project governance as central rather than peripheral; and operational implementation through adequately resourced governance structures, trained personnel, and systems for monitoring and learning. When these elements align, project charters can function as intended not as bureaucratic formalities, but as powerful governance instruments that clarify accountability, strengthen performance, and ultimately serve the millions of Nigerians whose quality of life depends on effective project delivery within the public sector.

REFERENCE

Aakhus, M., & Bzdak, M. (2015). *Stakeholder engagement as communication design*.

Bates, D. W., Auerbach, A., Schulam, P., Wright, A., & Saria, S. (2020). *Reporting and implementing interventions involving machine learning and artificial intelligence in healthcare*.

Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989). Agency theory: An assessment and review. *Academy of Management Review, 14*(1), 57–74. https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1989.4279003

Everett, J., Neu, D., & Rahaman, A. S. (2007). Accounting and the global fight against corruption. *Accounting, Organizations and Society, 32*(6), 513–542. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aos.2006.07.002

Funnell, W., Wade, M., & Jupe, R. (2016). *The credibility of performance auditing: Stakeholder perceptions*.

Furculița, T. (2023). *Performance audit as an essential element of public sector institutional management*.

Greenhalgh, T., & Abimbola, S. (2019). The NASSS framework—A synthesis of multiple theories of technology implementation. *Studies in Health Technology and Informatics, 263*, 193–204. https://doi.org/10.3233/SHTI190559

International Organization of Supreme Audit Institutions. (2013). *ISSAI 3000: Standards and guidelines for performance auditing*. INTOSAI.

Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure. *Journal of Financial Economics, 3*(4), 305–360. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X(76)90026-X

Kamara, D. A. K. (2023). *Internal audit effectiveness assessment in the public sector*.

Krejcie, R. V., & Morgan, D. W. (1970). Determining sample size for research activities. *Educational and Psychological Measurement, 30*(3), 607–610. https://doi.org/10.1177/001316447003000308

Lanrewaju, A. S., Ejededawe, O. A., & Elijah, E. (2024). *Supreme audit institution independence and financial fraud mitigation in the Nigerian public sector*.

Liao, F., Adelaine, S., Afshar, M., & Patterson, B. W. (2022). *Clinical artificial intelligence governance: Frameworks for safe and equitable implementation*.

Liu, Y., Yu, W., & Dillon, T. (2024). *Regulation and approval of artificial intelligence medical devices: Contemporary policy perspectives*.

Lonsdale, J., Wilkins, P., & Ling, T. (Eds.). (2011). *Performance auditing: Contributing to accountability in democratic government*. Edward Elgar Publishing.

Nilsen, P., Svedberg, P., Neher, M., et al. (2023). *Protocol for a co-creation research project guiding artificial intelligence implementation in healthcare*.

Pollitt, C., & Bouckaert, G. (2017). *Public management reform: A comparative analysis—Into the age of austerity* (4th ed.). Oxford University Press.

Reichborn-Kjennerud, K. (2014). Performance audit and the importance of the public debate. *Evaluation, 20*(3), 368–385. https://doi.org/10.1177/1356389014537844